

Published on Web 09/09/2003

The Modulating Possibilities of Dicarbollide Clusters: Optimizing the **Kharasch Catalysts**

Oscar Tutusaus,^{†,†} Clara Viñas,[†] Rosario Núñez,[†] Francesc Teixidor,^{*,†} Albert Demonceau,[§] Sébastien Delfosse,§ Alfred F. Noels,§ Ignasi Mata,† and Elies Molins†

Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (CSIC), Campus de la U.A.B. 08193 Bellaterra, Spain, Laboratory of Macromolecular Chemistry and Organic Catalysis, University of Liège, Sart-Tilman (B.6a), B-4000 Liège, Belgium

Received May 26, 2003; E-mail: teixidor@icmab.es

The structurally and coordinatively similar dicarbollide [7,8- $C_2B_9H_{11}$ ²⁻ (Dcb)¹ and cyclopentadienyl ligands $[C_5R_5]^-$ (Cp[#])¹ differ in their capacity to stabilize high oxidation states and the out-of-plane disposition of the open-face substituents.^{1,2} These differences offer new alternatives in fields where Cp is a basic participant and in which association/dissociation of ligands³ and electron capture/release are key steps. In the Kharasch reaction, also known as atom transfer radical Addition (ATRA).⁴ the abovementioned steps are fundamental. This is why ATRA reaction was chosen to compare Dcb and Cp options. To date some of the more active ATRA catalysts contain Cp-like ligands, e.g., [RuClCp#- $(PPh_3)_2]$ (Cp[#] = Cp (1), Cp^{*} (2), and indenyl (3)).^{4b,5} Substitution of one open-face hydrogen by a SR₂ in Dcb leads to the new monoanionic dicarbollide, $[R_2S-7, 8-C_2B_9H_{10}]^-$. We have chosen the most symmetrical position to locate the R₂S group, although other positions are also possible,⁶ and [10-R¹R²S-7-R-7,8-C₂B₉H₉]⁻ (4a-f) derivatives were synthesized.⁷ Reaction of these ligands with [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃] in ethanol led to complexes with the [3-H-3,3- $(PPh_3)_2$ -8-SR¹R²-1-R-3,1,2-RuC₂B₉H₉] (**5a**-**f**) general stoichiometry (Scheme 1). All complexes 5a-f, were characterized by NMR techniques, and the structure of 5a (Figure 1) was determined by X-ray structure analysis. Crystals of 5a were grown from a solution of CH₂Cl₂/hexane.

The ¹¹B NMR spectra of complexes with R = H and $R^1 = R^2$ (5a, 5b, 5d) display a 1:1:1:3:3 pattern in agreement with C_s symmetry. The crystal structure determination of 5a [3-H-3,3-(PPh₃)₂-8-SMe₂-3,1,2-RuC₂B₉H₁₀] unambiguously indicates that the metal hydride points toward sulfur (Figure 1). Bond distances of 5a are very similar to those of the noncompensated anion [3-H-3,3-(PPh₃)₂-3,1,2-RuC₂B₉H₁₁]⁻ (6⁻),⁸ Ru-P (2.321(3) and 2.298-(3) Å vs 2.322 and 2.294 Å), and the P-Ru-P angle has the same value 96.1(1)°. The Ru distance to the C_2B_3 open face is 1.735(5) Å for **5a** and 1.771 Å for **6**. The Ru–B and Ru–C distances in **5a** are very similar, ranging from 2.203(11) to 2.307(10) Å. Therefore the pendant R₂S⁺ group has not produced significant geometric changes in the complex. In support of this is the similar angle between the B(8)-S and the C_2B_3 plane for 5a (14.2°) and 4a (17.1°).⁷ This implies that in the event one PPh₃ should dissociate, the long 3.435(3) Å S-Ru distance in 5a, larger than the van der Waals radii, should prevent a S-Ru contact.

The Kharasch addition of CCl₄ to methyl methacrylate (MMA) and styrene (Sty) double bonds has been examined to compare the catalytic activity of 5a-f with 2, which is considered to be the fastest and highest Kharasch conversion capacity Ru complex.4b All 5a-f complexes are superior in all aspects to 2, as shown in Table 1.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 5a·CH₂Cl₂ showing the atom-labeling scheme.

Scheme 1. Preparation of Complexes 5a-f



Table 1. Cyclic Voltammetry^a Data and Kharasch Addition of Carbon Tetrachloride to Styrene and Methyl Methacrylate^b

			conversion ^c	conversion ^c [%]/yield ^c [%]	
$\Delta E [mV]$	<i>E</i> ° [mV]	catalyst	styrene	MMA	
86	+133	1	24/10	62/5	
94	-83	2	86/69	79/79	
94	-10	3	74/69	83/83	
76	-266	5a	100/99	98/94	
82	-266	5b	100/99	98/94	
98	-287	5c	100/99	100/100	
80	-266	5d	100/99	99/99	
80	-366	5e	100/99	92/84	
88	-368	5f	100/99	92/84	

^{*a*} Sample, 1 mM; Bu₄NPF₆ (0.1 M) in CH₂Cl₂; $\nu = 50$ mV s⁻¹; potentials are reported in millivolt versus ferrocene as an internal standard. ^b Reaction conditions: olefin (9 mmol), carbon tetrachloride (13 mmol), catalyst (0.03 mmol), toluene (4 mL), dodecane (0.25 mL), under nitrogen atmosphere. The reactions were carried out at 40 °C and stopped after 6 h. ^c Conversions and yields are based on the olefin, and determined by GC using dodecane as internal standard. The Kharasch adducts were characterized by comparison with literature data.4b

In particular for 5c and with regard to MMA and Sty, total turnover numbers (TTN) of 4200 and 9000, and initial turnover frequencies (TOF) of 1880 and 1500 h⁻¹ were obtained at 40 °C,

[†] Institut de Ciencia de Materials de Barcelona, CSIC.

[‡] O. Tutusaus is enrolled in the UAB PhD Program. [§] University of Liège.



Figure 2. Styrene $(\blacksquare, \bullet, \blacktriangle, \blacklozenge)$ and adduct $(\Box, \bigcirc, \triangle, \diamondsuit)$ vs time for the Kharasch addition of CCl₄ to styrene at 40 °C catalyzed by complexes 5a, 5c, and 2 without PPh_3 and in the presence of 12 equiv of PPh_3 . (a) Complex **5a** without PPh₃ (\blacksquare , \square) and with 11.9 equiv of PPh₃ (\bullet , \bigcirc); complex **5c** without PPh₃ (\blacktriangle , \bigtriangleup) and with 12.1 equiv of PPh₃ (\diamondsuit , \diamondsuit). (b) 2 without PPh₃ (\blacksquare,\Box) , with 12.05 $(\blacktriangle, \bigtriangleup)$ and 12.4 equiv of PPh₃ (\bullet, \bigcirc) .

respectively, as opposed to maximum TTN of 1600-1700 and TOF of 400 h^{-1} observed for 2.^{4b} In addition, the TTN for 5c is even higher than the one obtained for the pincer N,C,N-chelating aryldiaminonickel complex, to this moment the most efficient ATRA catalyst reported, with TTN of 1730 and TOF of 400 h⁻¹ for MMA.9 With the superior reactivity of 5c for this type of reaction, it remained to be determined whether it is due to: (i) the capacity of R_2S^+ to donate two electrons to the metal after dissociation of one phosphine; (ii) the fine-tuning to optimal potential in the Ru catalyst made by [10-R₂S-7,8-C₂B₉H₁₀]⁻, or (iii) a combination of both.

Point (i) was addressed by adding free PPh₃ to the reaction mixture, reasoning that addition of phosphine would decrease the rate dramatically, as observed in reactions in which a phosphine dissociative pathway is operative.^{4a,10,11} Addition of up to 12 equiv of PPh_3 per equiv of catalyst in reactions catalyzed by **5a** and **5c** slowed the reaction rate (Figure 2a) in a manner parallel to that with 2 (Figure 2b). Complex 2 does not have the capacity to internally satisfy the electronic demands of a complex having lost one ancillary ligand. With the behavior of 5a/5c parallel to that of 2, we considered that the stabilizing capacity of the R_2S^+ group on the ligand's dissociation could be discounted, in agreement with the structural data discussed earlier.

Possibility (ii) was addressed correlating the E° values for the $Ru^{II} \rightarrow Ru^{III}$ process with the catalytic Kharasch activity toward a common substrate. Cyclic voltammetry data are displayed in Table 1. On the basis of these data, the catalyst activity order is 5a-d >5e-f > 2,3 > 1. Interestingly, groupings of catalysts can be made, both from the catalytic activity side, and from the E° point of view. In this way, 5a-d have E° 's near -270 mV and are the most active catalysts; close in activity are **5e** and **5f** with E° 's near -370 mV.

Consequently, catalyst precursors with E° 's near -370 mV are not as efficient as these with E° 's near -270 mV, but all of them are more efficient than 2, 3, and 1 with E° 's between -83 mV and +133 mV. The fact that the highest catalytic activity is found neither at one extreme of E° 's values nor the other, but between, implies that both species, Ru^{II} and Ru^{III}, must be equally stabilized by the same ligand system and that for a maximum efficiency of the catalytic conversion process E° must be in a narrow range of potentials. In this way 2 and 3 are more efficient than 1, confirming that the closer to -270 mV is E° , the best for maximum catalytic performance. This proves that a direct relationship between ATRA catalyst efficiency and E° does exist for these complexes.

Charge-compensated carborane ligands have thus allowed the adequate tuning of the E° values of the Cp[#] ligands, permitting the necessary potential to be reached through *exo*-cluster substitution. It is our interpretation that this has been possible through a to-andfro electron density movement, facilitated by the uniqueness of the boron cluster-sulfonium bridge. We believe that the capacity to donate and to retrieve electron density from the metal makes the $[10-R_2S-7,8-C_2B_9H_{10}]^-$ system very adequate when two oxidation states are required to be stabilized by the same ligand system in different steps of a catalytic process.

Acknowledgment. This work has been supported by CICYT, MAT01-1575 and Generalitat de Catalunya, 2001/SGR/00337.

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic data (CIF) and X-ray structure details (PDF) of 5a·CH₂Cl₂. This material is available free of charge via the Internet http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Hawthorne, M. F.; Young, D. C.; Andrews, T. D.; Howe, D. V.; Pilling, R. L.; Pitts, A. D.; Reintjes, M.; Warren, L. F.; Wegner, P. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 879.
- (2) (a) Teixidor, F.; Viñas, C.; Abad, M. M.; Kivekäs, R.; Sillanpää, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 509, 139. (b) Viñas, C.; Núñez, R.; Teixidor, F.; Sillanpää, R.; Kivekäs, R. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4712.
- (3) The association/dissociation step is required for 18 e⁻ complexes. It is perhaps not so necessary for 16 e⁻ complexes.
- (4) (a) Bland, W. J.; Davis, R.; Durrant, J. L. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 280, 397. (b) Simal, F.; Wlodarczak, L.; Demonceau, A.; Noels, A. F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 2689. Simal, F.; Wlodarczak, L.; Demonceau, A.; Noels, A. F. Tetrahedron Lett.
- 2000, 41, 6071.
- (6) (a) Cowie, J.; Hamilton, E. J. M.; Laurie, J. C. V.; Welch, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 394, 1. (b) Douek, N. L.; Welch, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 1917. (c) Yan, Y.-K.; Mingos, D. M. P.; Müller, T. E.; Williams, D. J.; Kurmoo, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1994**, 1735. (d) Dunn, S.; Garrioch, R. M.; Rosair, G. M.; Smith, L.; Welch, A. J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1999, 64, 1013.
 (7) Tutusaus, O.; Teixidor, F.; Núñez, R.; Viñas, C.; Sillanpää, R.; Kivekäs,
- R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 657, 247.
- (8) Chizhevsky, I. T.; Lobanova, I. A.; Petrovskii, P. V.; Bregadze, V. I.; Dolgushin, F. M.; Yanovsky, A.; Struchkov, I. Y. T.; Chistyakov, A. L.; Stankevich, I. V. Organometallics 1999, 18, 726.
- (a) van de Kuil, L. A.; Grove, D. M.; Gossage, R. A.; Zwikker, J. W.; Jenneskens, L. W.; Drenth, W.; van Koten, G. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 4985. (b) Gossage, R. A.; van de Kuil, L. A.; van Koten, G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 423. (10) Teixidor, F.; Flores, M. A.; Viñas, C.; Sillanpää, R.; Kivekäs, R. J. Am.
- Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1963.
- (11) (a) James, B.R.; Markham, L. D. Inorg. Chem. **1974**, *13*, 97. (b) Hoffman, P. R.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1975**, 97, 4221. (c) Bland, W. J.; Davis, R.; Durrant, J. L. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 267, C45. (d) Dias, E. L.; Nguyen, S. T.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3887. (e) Huang, J.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P.; Petersen, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 2674.

JA036342X